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Introduction

- Pyro-electrochemical fuel recycling in SFR
  - From spent fuel, pyro-electrochemical processing recovers uranium and transuranic elements (TRU) together to fabricate metal fuel for SFR
  - Transmutation of minor actinides in PWR spent fuels can reduce environmental burden and repository space, and enhance utilization of uranium resource

\[ U-TRU-Zr \text{ Fuel} \]

* TRU (Transuranics) : Pu + MA
* MA (Minor Actinides) : Np, Am, Cm
Metal Fuel for Prototype Generation-IV SFR

- Inherent passive reactor safety
- Enhanced utilization of uranium resource
- Efficient transmutation of minor actinides
- Proliferation resistance with pyro-electrochemical fuel recycling

➢ To meet the targets of Generation IV SFR
  - Sustainability, Safety, Economy and Proliferation Resistance
## Design Characteristics of PGSFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General requirement</td>
<td>Demonstration of TRU transmutation coupled with pyro-processing</td>
<td>Management of PWR spent fuel for sustainable use of nuclear energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>U-Zr (Initial core), U-TRU-Zr (Equilibrium)</td>
<td>Step-by-step qualification of fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric capacity</td>
<td>150 MWe</td>
<td>Minimum power capacity for sufficient neutron flux for fuel/cladding qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core outlet temperature</td>
<td>545 °C</td>
<td>New fuel/cladding development, high temperature structural design, high thermal efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design life time/Capacity factor</td>
<td>More than 60 yr/75 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSE(Safe Shutdown Earthquake)</td>
<td>0.3 g</td>
<td>Introduction of seismic isolation technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$/reactor-yr</td>
<td>Requirement of Gen-IV reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace time for operator action</td>
<td>More than 2 hours in DBE, BDBE</td>
<td>Lesson learned from Fukushima accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBO</td>
<td>More than 3 days in SBO</td>
<td>Lesson learned from Fukushima accident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Core of PGSFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Parameters</th>
<th>U Equilibrium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal/Electric Power [MWth/MWe]</td>
<td>392/150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant Temperature (inlet/outlet) [°C]</td>
<td>390 / 545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Form / U-235 Enrichment [wt.%]</td>
<td>U-10%Zr / 19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle Length [EFPD]</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure Material (Cladding &amp; Reflector)</td>
<td>FMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Batches (Inner/Outer Core)</td>
<td>4 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Core Height [cm]</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Pin Diameter [cm] / P/D Ratio</td>
<td>0.74 / 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium Inventory [Ton]</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average/Peak Burnup [GWd/Ton]</td>
<td>66 / 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Power Density [W/cm³]</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fuel Assembly of PGSFR

- Fuel material: U-Zr & U-TRU-Zr
- Active fuel length: 900 mm
- Fuel rod length: 2,240 mm
- Cladding & duct material: FMS (FC92/HT9)
- Cladding diameter & thickness: 7.4 mm & 0.5 mm
- Overall assembly length: 4,550 mm

Fuel Slug (900 mm) — Gas Plenum Region (1250 mm)

Nose piece — Lower reflector — Fuel pin — Upper reflector — Handling socket
Fuel Design

- **Fuel Design Consideration**
  - Maximum discharge burnup
  - Peak fuel power and temperature
  - Peak cladding fast neutron fluence

- **Fuel Design Basis and Limit**
  - Fuel temperature: melting and eutectic melting
  - Cladding integrity: creep, swelling, CDF (Cumulative Damage Fraction) and strain
  - Fuel integrity to be maintained

- **Fuel Design**
  - Dimensions, shape, arrangement, and configuration
  - Selection of materials of fuel components
Irradiation Performance of Metal Fuel

- **Fuel**
  - Fission gas release
  - Fuel swelling and axial growth
  - Migration of elements (TRU, Zr and RE) during irradiation

- **Cladding**
  - Creep deformation
  - Irradiation swelling
  - Ductility reduction

- **Fuel-Cladding Interaction**
  - FCCI: Eutectic melting, cladding wastage
  - FCMI: cladding mechanical failure
Fuel Design Analysis

- Fuel Rod
  - Design criteria for normal operation (NO) and operational transients
    - Fuel centerline temperature, cladding strain and CDF (Cumulative Damage Fraction)
    - Fuel cladding chemical interaction (FCCI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Max. clad. mid-wall temp., °C</th>
<th>Total cladding strain, % (Criterion: &lt; 1.0 %)</th>
<th>CDF (Criterion: &lt; 0.05)</th>
<th>Max. fuel centerline temp., °C (Criterion: &lt; 1,237 °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner core</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>9.42×10^{-3}</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer core</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.35×10^{-2}</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Fuel Assembly
  - Design criteria for NO and DBA (Design Base Accident)
    - Ensure FA structural integrity and functional requirements
    - Prevent brittle failure of duct during fuel handling
Fuel Fabrication
Fuel slug casting

- Injection casting equipment
  - Batch size: 2.5kg/batch (Lab.), 20kg/batch (Eng.)
  - Slug dimension: Φ5.4-L300mm

- Fuel slug fabrication
  - U-Zr-Ce-Mn slugs with varying compositions
  - U-(5,10,15)Zr, U-10Zr-(2,4,6)RE, U-10Zr-RE-5Mn
  - Casting conditions (temperature, pressure, heating rate, time) optimization

- Quality of fuel slugs meeting fuel specification
  - Dimension: 5.54±0.1-0.08 mm
  - Zr content: 10±1.0 wt.%
  - Impurity content: C+N+O+Si < 2,000 ppm

### Fuel slug composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U (%)</th>
<th>Zr (%)</th>
<th>Si (ppm)</th>
<th>C (ppm)</th>
<th>O (ppm)</th>
<th>N (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Steps for Cladding Tube Fabrication

1. Melting (Ingots)
2. Forging
3. Machining (Hollow billet)
4. Hot-extruding
5. Sub-critical annealing
6. Intermediate annealing
7. Intermediate annealing
8. Intermediate annealing
9. Intermediate annealing
10. Pilgering
11. Drawing
12. Reduction
13. Normalizing & Tempering
14. Cladding tubes
Cladding Tube Fabrication

- Hollow billet fabrication
  - Large ingot (1 ton) melting (vacuum induction melting)
  - Forging (1200°C)
  - Machining hollow billet (OD 180mm)

- Tube fabrication
  - Hot extrusion (OD 54mm)
  - Pilgering and drawing
  - Intermediate heat treatment
  - Cleaning
  - Final heat treatment
  - **Final dimension of cladding tube:**
    OD7.4mm x WT0.56mm x L3,000mm
Advanced Cladding Materials Development

- Advanced FMS cladding alloy design and manufacturing
  - 38 alloys in 3 batches
  - Vacuum induction melting (30kg)
  - Hot rolling (thickness: 15mm)
  - Heat treatment (normalizing at 1050°C, tempering at 750°C)

- Performance tests
  - Microstructure examination
  - Sodium compatibility tests
  - Creep/tensile tests
    - Creep rupture strength (650°C) improved by more than 30% from HT9
Fuel Rod Fabrication

- Fuel rod fabrication for irradiation test in HANARO

U-10Zr fuel slugs

Sodium bonding

Welding of end plug

Fabricated fuel rodlet
Wire and Duct Fabrication

- Fabrication of wire for fuel rod
  - Material: HT9
  - Ingot: VIM (1 ton)
  - Rolling (30 pass): OD 8.5mm wire
  - Drawing 7 times: OD 0.95mm wire

- Fabrication of duct
  - Material: HT9
  - VIM & ESR (3 ton ingot)
  - Hot forging at 1200°C: OD 200mm
  - Mother tube: Piercing
  - Drawing
  - Heat treatment
    - Width 126mm, thickness 3mm, length 4m
Barrier between Fuel and Cladding

- Barrier to prevent interaction between fuel and cladding
  - Eutectic melting at high temperature
  - Degradation of cladding by rare earth fission products

- Investigation of diverse barriers
  - Effective barrier material: Cr, V, Cr_2O_3,..
  - Barrier fabrication methods: electroplating, oxidation, nitrification, metal liner,..
  - Barrier on fuel slug: Surface oxidation of metal fuel slug
Cr electroplating for barrier

- Manufacture of Cr-electroplated cladding
  - 20 µm of Cr layer was plated at the inner surface of the cladding tube (5.8~7.4mm OD)

- Performance evaluation
  - Out-of pile test
    - Out-of pile diffusion couple test
    - Mechanical test (uniaxial tension, biaxial burst)
  - In-pile test
    - Irradiation test in HANARO (182EFPD, 3at% BU)
  - Cr was effective in preventing inter-diffusion between fuel and cladding
Objective

- Fuel slug & Cr barrier performance test
- 1st HAHARO (thermal reactor) test
  - 12 rodlets (6 U-10Zr & 6 U-10Zr-5Ce, Cr barrier)
  - Cladding diameter: 5.5 mm
  - 3 at% burnup for 182 EFPD & PIE done
- 2nd HAHARO test: planned
  - 12 rodlets (6 U-10Zr & 6 U-10Zr-4RE, Cr barrier)
  - Cladding: HT9, FC92
  - Two cladding diameter (5.5 & 7.4 mm)
  - Peak inner cladding temp. (PICT): 650 °C
  - 6 at% burnup irradiation (plan)
Irradiation test in BOR-60

- Irradiation rig in BOR-60 (fast reactor)
  - Fuel rod: U(LEU)-10wt%Zr (length: 185 mm)
  - ZrH\(_x\) rod (moderator) installed to increase power of test fuel rod using LEU

- Irradiation conditions
  - Peak linear power: 364~319 W/cm
  - Peak cladding temperature: 650~617 °C

- Results
  - Cladding integrity is checked at every cycle
  - Interim NDE of three fuel rods were made at 3 at%: appearance, gamma scanning, cladding profilometry
  - Irradiation test (7 at.%) was completed in May 2020
  - Extension of irradiation test to 10 at.% burnup (planned)
Cladding Irradiation Test in BOR-60

- Cladding test condition in BOR-60
  - Irradiation of cladding tube (FC92 and HT9) in 2 MTRs (Material Test Rig)
  - Irradiation test temperature
    - MTR-1: 600°C ± 30°C
    - MTR-2: 650°C ± 32°C
  - Irradiation dose achieved (in 2020.08)
    - MTR-1: 46.7 dpa
    - MTR-2: 77.5 dpa

- Results
  - 2 Interim inspections and final inspections
  - Inspection items
    - Creep: diametral strain measurement
    - Swelling: Density measurement
Fuel Cladding Chemical Interaction (FCCI) Tests

- Comparative FCCI test of FC92 and HT9 cladding
  - 650~800°C for 1~5,000hr
  - FC92 cladding showed FCCI behavior similar to HT9 with fresh fuel above 700°C

- FCCI test using the irradiated fuel
  - U-10Zr-5Ce/T92 from HANARO-irradiated fuels
  - FCCI tests under high vacuum at high temperature
  - Eutectic melting region was observed at 800°C
Hydraulic Test of Fuel Assembly

- **Test facility:** HyTeL-SF
  - Hydraulic test using a full size FA
  - Operation conditions:
    - $70^\circ$C, 2MPa, 180 m$^3$/hr

- **Fuel assembly hydraulic tests**
  - Measurements of FA pressure drop
    - Tested under the same Reynold number of Na
  - FA hydraulic lift-off and hold-down test
  - Flow-induced vibration test
Mechanical Test of Fuel Assembly

- Test facility: FAMeCT
  - Test bench for LWR and SFR fuel assembly

- Fuel assembly mechanical tests
  - Measurements of FA structural response
    - Vibration characteristics
    - Lateral bending
    - Axial impact (elastic)
  - Verification of FA structural integrity and functional requirements
    - Stress and strain measurement under max. withdrawal force of IVTM (In-Vessel Transport Machine)
TRU Metal Fuel Fabrication Process

- TRU Metal fuel composition
  - U-Pu-MA(Np, Am, Cm)-RE(Nd 53%, Ce 25%, Pr 16 %, La 6%)-Zr
Technical challenges of Recycling TRU Metal Fuel

- Remote fabrication of metal fuel with radioactive minor actinides
  - Control of Am vaporization and chemically active rare earth elements during metal fuel casting
  - Reliable, simple and automatic remote hot cell fuel fabrication
- Verification of Irradiation performance of U-TRU-RE-Zr metal fuel
  - Effects of minor actinides and rare earths on fuel performance
  - Performance verification up to high burnup
  - Barrier technology development to prevent interaction between metal fuel and metal cladding
- Advanced cladding for high burnup and high temperature
  - High strength FMS (ferritic-martensitic steel) cladding
Composition of PWR Spent Fuel

Contents of 1 ton (~2 fuel assemblies) after cooling for 40 years

- 955.4 kg U
- 7.8 kg Pu (5.1 kg $^{239}$Pu)
- 0.6 kg Np
- 1.6 kg Am
- 0.02 kg Cm
- 34.7 kg fission products

Fission Products:
- 10.1 kg Lanthanides
- 0.5 kg $^{137}$Cs
- 0.2 kg $^{129}$I
- 0.8 kg $^{99}$Tc
- 0.006 kg $^{79}$Se
- 0.3 kg $^{135}$Cs
- 3.4 kg Mo isotopes
- 2.2 kg Ru isotopes
- 0.4 kg Rh isotopes
- 1.4 kg Pd isotopes
Remote fuel fabrication

- Remote fuel fabrication process based upon injection casting
  - Remote casting furnace and mock-up test facility were installed to develop the remote fuel fabrication process.
  - Seventy-eight fuel slugs (U-10Zr) fabricated simultaneously through remote injection casting were confirmed to satisfy the fabrication specification.
Particulate Fuel

- Particulate fuel concept
  - Fuel particle fabrication followed by vibro-compaction or consolidation of fuel particles
- Fabrication of atomized particles
  - U-10wt%Zr fuel particles were fabricated by atomization process
  - Particle size: avg. 65µm and 350µm

- Advantages
  - Low FCMI
  - Fuel without Na bond (potential)
  - Process loss reduction
  - Mold not needed

- Plan
  - Irradiation test in HANARO

*Cross Section Microstructure after Sintering at 1000°C/5 h*

- Atomization
- Sintering of various atomized powders
- Sintered pellets of U-10Zr
Annular Fuel Slug

- Fabrication of annular fuel slug by extrusion method
  - The extrusion using Cu as a surrogate of U was carried out to derive the optimum conditions for annular fuel extrusion
Liner cladding

- Liner cladding tube to prevent fuel-cladding chemical interaction (FCCI)

- Liner cladding fabrication
  - Parameter evaluation
    - Cold work process: drawing, pilgering
    - Selection of cold working ratio / Intermediate heat treatment
  - Fabrication of liner cladding
    - 50µm thick liner cladding tube (~1m) by co-drawing HT9 and liner(Zry-4, Ti)
Summary

- Metal fuel for PGSFR
  - U-Zr driver fuel for an initial core and U-TRU-Zr fuel for transmutation
  - Fuel design, fabrication, and verification tests were performed.
  - Technical feasibility of TRU fuel recycling in SFR was shown.

- PGSFR coupled with pyro-electrochemical processing can provide a solution for PWR spent fuel management
  - R&D to provide technical information to the decision makers
  - The direction of pyro-SFR technology development will be decided in 2021.
Thank You 감사합니다
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 November 2021</td>
<td>Geometry Design and Transient Simulation of a Heat Pipe Micro Reactor</td>
<td>Dr. Jun Wang, University Of Wisconsin, Madison, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 December 2021</td>
<td>Development of an Austenitic-Martensitic Gradient Steel by Additive Manufacturing</td>
<td>Dr. Flore Villaret, EDF, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 January 2022</td>
<td>ESFR SMART a European Sodium Fast Reactor Concept including the European Feedback Experience and the New Safety Commitments following Fukushima Accident</td>
<td>Mr. Joel Guidez, CEA, France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Evaluation of SFR Fuels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Nitride</th>
<th>Carbide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Irradiation performance</strong></td>
<td>Proven up to 15 % BU</td>
<td>Proven up to 20 % BU</td>
<td>Need verification</td>
<td>Need verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Fabrication</strong></td>
<td>MOX fabrication in glove box commercially verified Research on MOX fabrication in hot cell</td>
<td>Fuel fabrication in hot cell verified in small scale (EBR-II)</td>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Recycling</strong></td>
<td>Aqueous (verified) Pyro-electrochemical (research)</td>
<td>Pyro-electrochemical (research) Aqueous(potential)</td>
<td>Aqueous(potential)</td>
<td>Aqueous(potential)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merit</strong></td>
<td>Irradiation performance and aqueous recycling verified</td>
<td>Irradiation performance verified Compatibility with sodium Inherent passive safety Simple fuel fabrication</td>
<td>Compatibility with sodium High melting temperature and high thermal conductivity</td>
<td>Compatibility with sodium High melting temperature and high thermal conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demerit</strong></td>
<td>Reaction with sodium Fuel cladding chemical interaction</td>
<td>Fuel cladding chemical interaction Pyro-electrochemical recycling needs to be verified</td>
<td>Fuel pellet swelling and cracking Fuel cladding mechanical interaction</td>
<td>Fuel pellet swelling and cracking Fuel cladding mechanical interaction C-14 activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology Status</strong></td>
<td>Commercialization</td>
<td>Near commercialization</td>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SFR Fuel Evaluation

- Oxide Fuel
  - Commercialization of MOX fuel in SFR and aqueous reprocessing of LWR fuel
  - Reaction of MOX and sodium coolant may limit reactor operation flexibility

- Metal Fuel
  - Verified irradiation performance (U-Pu-Zr) and excellent compatibility with sodium
  - Pyro-electrochemical fuel recycling needs to be demonstrated
  - Selected as the fuel for the innovative SFR (PGSFR, Natrium/TWR, 4S) with longer cycle length

- Nitride and Carbide Fuels
  - Very good thermal properties (high melting temperature and thermal conductivity)
  - Irradiation performance and fuel recycling need to be verified
SFR Metal Fuel Evaluation Parameters

- **Fuel fabrication**
  - Remote fuel fabrication: reliability, simplicity and maintenance
  - Control of volatile element transport during fuel fabrication

- **Fuel performance**
  - Fuel integrity up to high burnup
  - Effect of MA and RE on fuel performance
  - Allowance of impurities in fuel from pyro-processing of irradiated fuel

- **Reactor safety**
  - Demonstration of passive safety
  - Compatibility with sodium coolant: Post-fuel failure behavior
  - Fuel behavior under DBA and HCDA

- **Economy**
  - Fuel cycle cost: fuel cost, fuel recycling cost, waste disposal cost
  - Reactor cost: construction and operation costs
Fuel Rod Design

- PGSFR Fuel Rod
  - Fuel/cladding material: U-Zr/FMS
  - Fuel rod length: 2,240 mm
  - Active fuel length: 900 mm
  - Fuel slug diameter: 5.54 mm
  - Cladding diameter & thickness: 7.4 mm & 0.5 mm

- Fuel Performance Analysis
  - Deterministic approach
    - Uncertainties of reactor operation, fuel fabrication and performance models are taken into account.
    - The uncertainties are combined by a root mean square method
    - Limiting rods were selected
      - Inner core: highest linear power
      - Outer core: longest residence time

---

### Fuel Design Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
<th>Specified Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO and AOO</td>
<td>No fuel pin failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA-1</td>
<td>A small fraction of fuel pin failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBA-2</td>
<td>Fuel pin coolable geometry, with no fuel pin failure propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>Core coolability with in-vessel retention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metal fuel rod performance analysis code

- **PUMA**
  - Performance of Uranium Metal fuel rod Analysis code
    - Applicable only to metal fuel
    - Mechanistic models are employed

- **Code structure**
  - 1D FE-based thermal & mechanical modules
    - Thermal analysis is followed by mechanical analysis
  - Coupling between thermal and mechanical analyses
  - Models such as fission gas release and element redistribution were incorporated

- **Code verification and validation**
  - Comparison of code prediction with fuel performance test data and prediction of other codes is going on.
Investigation on Cladding Tube Fabrication Process

- **Mother plate preparation**
  - Heat treatment conditions
    - Normalizing at 1050°C for 1 hour
    - Tempering at 750°C: mother plate 1
    - Tempering at 550°C: mother plate 2

- **Cold rolling**
  - Cold rolling: 4mm to 1mm
  - Cold rolling times: 1 to 7

- **Heat treatment**
  - Temperature: 700°C
  - Total heat treatment time: 30min

- **Microstructure**
  - As cold rolling pass increased, fine precipitates uniformly distributed.

- **Tensile test**
  - As cold rolling pass increased, the yield and tensile strength increased.