



DEVELOPMENT OF MULTIPLE-PARTICLE POSITRON EMISSION PARTICLE TRACKING FLOW MEASUREMENT

Dr. Cody Wiggins

Virginia Commonwealth University, USA; University of Tennessee, USA

17 December 2020



Some Housekeeping Items



Listen through your computer

Please select the "mic and speakers" radio button on the right-hand audio and pane display



Technical Difficulties

Contact the Go To Webinars Help Desk: 888-259-3826



To ask a question

Select the "Questions" pane on your screen and type in your question



Share with others or watch it again

A video/audio recording of the webinar and the slide decks will be made available at www.gen-4.org



Please take the survey at <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/F9D3XTZ>



To Ask a Question



Click Here

To Open the Go To Webinar Control Panel

Write question in bottom box and hit "Send"

The image shows a screenshot of the GoTo Webinar interface. On the left, a vertical toolbar contains several icons: a back arrow, a microphone, a document, and a hand. A red arrow points from the text "Click Here" to the back arrow icon. Another red arrow points from the text "To Open the Go To Webinar Control Panel" to the microphone icon. The main window displays the audio control panel, which includes a "Sound Check" button, radio buttons for "Computer audio", "Phone call", and "No audio", a "MUTED" indicator, and a volume slider. Below the audio panel is a "Questions" pane with a text input field containing the placeholder text "[Enter a question for staff]" and a "Send" button. A red circle highlights the text input field, and a red arrow points from the text "Write question in bottom box and hit 'Send'" to the "Send" button.



DEVELOPMENT OF MULTIPLE-PARTICLE POSITRON EMISSION PARTICLE TRACKING FLOW MEASUREMENT

Dr. Cody Wiggins

Virginia Commonwealth University, USA; University of Tennessee, USA

17 December 2020



Meet the Presenter



Dr. Cody Wiggins is currently employed as a postdoctoral research associate at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) in the Department of Mechanical and Nuclear Engineering.

He earned his B.S. from the University of Tennessee, Knoxville (UTK) in Nuclear Engineering in 2014 and his Ph.D. from UTK in Physics in 2019.


Dr Wiggins's research has focused on experimental fluid dynamics, including pure and applied research components. His primary interest has been in the development and deployment of positron emission particle tracking (PEPT) – a radiotracer-based method for flow measurements in opaque systems. He is now studying thermal hydraulics for advanced energy applications, while maintaining a focus on the advancement of PEPT.

Dr. Wiggins was the winner of the American Nuclear Society's «Pitch your PhD» competition 2019



Email: cswiggins9@gmail.com

DEVELOPMENT OF MULTIPLE-PARTICLE POSITRON EMISSION PARTICLE TRACKING FOR FLOW MEASUREMENT



Cody Wiggins

Virginia Commonwealth University, USA
University of Tennessee, USA



VCU

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY



OVERVIEW

- Motivation
- Positron Emission Particle Tracking
 - What is PEPT?
 - Historical PEPT methods
- Multiple-Particle PEPT
 - Novel Reconstruction Methods
- PEPT Experiments
 - Experimental Methods
 - Measurement Highlights
- PEPT Future





VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY



Challenge: Flows in Opaque Systems



- Flows in opaque systems are ubiquitous in reactor designs



GIF Technology Roadmap, 2002.

Challenge: Flows in Opaque Systems

- Warrants use of CFD
 - Requires experimental data for validation
- Experimental options
 - Surrogate fluids, materials to allow optical access
 - Alternative methods: UVP, MRI, etc.



www.comsol.com/model/shell-and-tube-heat-exchanger-12685

Positron Emission Particle Tracking

- Particle tracking based on the detection of coincident gamma rays from radiolabelled tracer particles¹
- PEPT allows generation of time-resolved, Lagrangian 3D fluid flow data inside of complex geometries.
- Detection of 511 keV gammas allows for imaging in opaque systems^{2,3}.
- Current technology⁴ allows spatial resolution ~ 0.1 mm and temporal resolution of ~ 1 ms.




1. Parker, D., et al., 1993, *NIMA*, **326**, 592.

2. Perez-Mohedano, R., et al., 2015, *Chem Engr J*, **259**, 724.


3. Parker, D., et al., 2008, *Meas Sci Tech*, **094004**.

4. Chang, YF. and Hoffman, AC., 2015, *Exp. Fl.* **56**:4.


How PEPT Works



Activate




Detect



Coincidence Lines


Trajectories



Chang, YF and Hoffman, AC, 2015, *Exp. FI*, 56:4.



Isotope	$T_{1/2}$	E_{mean} (keV)	E_{max} (keV)	R_{mean} (mm)	R_{max} (mm)
¹⁸ F	109.8 min.	252	635	0.66	2.6
¹¹ C	20.3 min.	390	970	1.1	4.5
²² Na	2.6 yr.	220	674	0.53	2.3
⁶⁴ Cu	12.7 h.	278	653	0.56	2.9
⁶⁸ Ga	68 min.	844	1899	3.6	10.3

PEPT Reconstruction




- Raw PEPT data is location of coincident detections, visualized as coincidence lines (CL)
- Must triangulate tracers from time series of CL

How?



Chang, YF and Hoffman, AC, 2015, *Exp. FI*, 56:4.


PEPT Reconstruction




Birmingham Method¹

- Find point in space that minimizes sum of distances to CLs
- Iterative triangulation rejections noise.

- “Cutpoints” (points of intersection) examined in 2-D projections.
- Iterative process used to remove cutpoints and find position in plane of interest.
- Out-of-plane component found by examine CLs contributing to final cutpoints.



Bergen Method²



Cape Town Method³

- Count CL crossings across superimposed grid
- Gaussian fit of 2D slices through “voxel” with greatest number to find particle

1. Parker, D., et al., 1993, *NIMA*, **326**, 592.
2. Chang, Y.F., et al., 2012, *proc. IEEE IMT*.
3. Bickell, M., et al., 2012, *NIMA*, **682**, 36

Multiple-particle PEPT (M-PEPT)

- We seek a method for PEPT reconstruction that allows tracking of an arbitrary number of tracers.

Birmingham Method

- Multiple particle tracking for tracers of very different activity (up to 3)¹

Bergen Method

- Single- particle only²

Cape Town Method



- Multiple-particle tracking for tracers of known initial positions (up to 16)³

Criteria not satisfied

1. Yang, Z., et al., 2006, *NIMA*, **564**, 332.
2. Chang, Y.F., et al., 2012, proc. *IEEE IMT*.
3. Bickell, M., et al., 2012, *NIMA*, **682**, 36


M-PEPT at UTK

- Developing novel PEPT techniques for understanding flow in complex systems



M-PEPT: Feature Point Identification (FPI)¹


- Consider coincidence lines from an individual time frame
 - Typically ~ 1ms




1. Wiggins, C., et al, 2017, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. Sec. A*, **843**, 22.

M-PEPT: Feature Point Identification (FPI)¹

- Trace events onto grid
- Equivalent to PET back-projection




M-PEPT: Feature Point Identification (FPI)¹



- Filter the “image”
 - Long wavelength background removal
 - Gaussian smoothing kernel

1. Wiggins, C., et al, 2017, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. Sec. A*, **843**, 22.


M-PEPT: Feature Point Identification (FPI)¹



- Identify local maxima
- Use Gaussian fitting for particle positions

M-PEPT: Feature Point Identification (FPI)¹


- Link particles from individual frames into trajectories²



1. Wiggins, C., et al, 2017, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. Sec. A*, **843**, 22.
2. Wiggins, C., et al, 2016, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. Sec. A*, **811**, 18.

M-PEPT Performance


- Typical spatiotemporal resolution: 1 ms, 0.5 mm
 - Depends on tracer activity, attenuating medium
- Tracking >80 particles simultaneously
 - Up to 100 in simulation
- Enables a number of novel PEPT experiments
 - Tracers can enter and leave field of view of scanner




PEPT Experiments: Activation

- Direct Activation
 - Volumetric activation via accelerator
- Indirect Activation
 - Surface activation via chemical means


Isotope	$T_{1/2}$	E_{mean} (keV)	E_{max} (keV)	R_{mean} (mm)	R_{max} (mm)
^{18}F	109.8 min.	252	635	0.66	2.6
^{11}C	20.3 min.	390	970	1.1	4.5
^{22}Na	2.6 yr.	220	674	0.53	2.3
^{64}Cu	12.7 h.	278	653	0.56	2.9
^{68}Ga	68 min.	844	1899	3.6	10.3



Fan, X., et al., 2006, NIMA, 562, 345.




Anion exchange resin beads activated via soaking in ^{18}F aqueous solution ($D = 0.1 - 1 \text{ mm}$), final activity 50 – 1000 μCi




PEPT Experiments: Detectors


- PET scanners consist of a fixed array of segmented detectors
- Allows easy calibration, repeatability of experiments



Mintzer, R.A. and Siegel, S.B., 2007, proc. of IEEE NSS 2007.



PEPT Dewarping¹



Alternatives: panel detectors², modular array³

1. Wiggins, C., et al., 2019, *Chem. Engr. Sci.*, **204**, 246.
 2. Perez-Mohedano, R., et al., 2015, *Chem Engr J.*, **259**, 724
 3. Parker, 2017, *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, **88**, 051803.

PEPT Experiments: Detectors – Siemens Inveon¹

- Ring-type preclinical PET scanner
 - Designed for mouse, rat imaging
- Allows PEPT reconstruction up to 5 kHz
- Peak sensitivity 5.2% at 425-625 keV energy window


Detector	Crystal Material	LSO
	Crystal Size (mm)	1.51 x 1.51 x 10
	Crystal Pitch (mm)	1.59
	Crystal Array	400 (20 x 20)
System	No. of Detectors	64
	No. of Crystals	25,600
	No. of Rings	80
	No. of Crystals per Ring	320
	Ring Diameter (cm)	16.1
	Axial FOV (cm)	12.7
	Transaxial FOV (cm)	10.0



1. Bao, Q., et al., 2009, *J. Nucl. Med.*, **50**, 401.



PEPT Experiments: Measurement

- Experiments carried out using deionized water
- Test section region of interest placed in bore of PET scanner



PEPT Experiments: Measurement



- Experiments carried out using deionized water
- **Test section region of interest placed in bore of PET scanner**



Experimental apparatus remains behind shielding during data collection.



Experiment Highlights: Heat Exchanger¹

- Flow imaged in shell-side of tube-in-shell HX
 - Stainless steel, ¼-in. thick
 - Mean velocity 0.5 m/s






Experiment Highlights: Heat Exchanger

- Flow imaged in shell-side of tube-in-shell HX
 - Stainless steel, 1/4-in. thick
 - Mean velocity 0.5 m/s
 - Resolves flow around tubes, baffles






Experiment Highlights: Baffle Flow¹

- Study flow in complex geometry with acceleration, recirculation
 - Compare to optical methods




Experiment Highlights: Baffle Flow¹



Results validated against optical PTV

Experiment Highlights: Pipe Flow¹

- Pipe Flow, $D = 74$ mm
 - $Re = 42,600$ (mean vel. 0.5 m/s)





Developed procedure to dewarp trajectories near radial edges of scanner



Experiment Highlights: Pipe Flow

- Compare results to direct numerical simulation data¹⁻³
 - Shows efficacy of PEPT for turbulence measurement, CFD validation




1. El Khuory et al., 2013, *Flow Turb. Combust.*, **91**, 475.


2. Wu and Moin, 2008, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **608**, 81.

3. Stelzenmuller et al., 2017, *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, **2**(054602).

Experiment Highlights: Pipe Flow





- Use particle tracking (“Lagrangian”) data to understand acceleration, diffusion¹




1. Wiggins et al., proc. ANS Winter 2019

Experiment Highlights: Swirl Flow¹


- Pipe flow with twisted tape insert
 - TT is common heat transfer enhancement
 - Study secondary flow, asymmetry




Transform to
rotating frame



Experiment Highlights: Swirl Flow¹



Asymmetric axial velocity profile





- Identified secondary flows in rotating frame
 - Flows still developing at 20 to 30 diameters

Experiment Highlights: Packed Bed¹

- Study velocity, acceleration, and transport in porous media, low-Re flow
- Test sections constructed of packed glass spheres, diameter 2, 4, 8 mm


Bead Sizes (mm)	Re
2	0.135
2	0.27
2	0.54
2, 4	0.27
2, 4, 8	0.27

- Show capability of PEPT imaging in packed bed systems







Experiment Highlights: Packed Bed¹

- Demonstrated capability for packed bed systems
 - Over 80 tracers tracked simultaneously




Experiment Highlights: Packed Bed¹

- Demonstrated capability for packed bed systems
 - Over 80 tracers tracked simultaneously
- Measurement of pore-scale velocity and acceleration distributions




Experiment Highlights: Packed Bed¹


- Demonstrated capability for packed bed systems
 - Over 80 tracers tracked simultaneously
- Measurement of pore-scale velocity and acceleration distributions
- Mean-squared displacement measurements may be used to infer diffusion characteristics



Experiment Highlights: Biological Applications



- PEPT utility also demonstrated for measurements of biological concern:
 - Pulsatile flow elastic tubing – open and restricted geometry¹
 - In vitro tracking of individual yeast cells²




1. Patel, N., Wiggins, C., et al., 2017, *Exp. Fl.*, **58**:42
 2. Langford, S., et al., 2019, *PLoS ONE*, **12**(7).

PEPT Future: Reconstruction

- PEPT reconstruction development is an ongoing line of research.
 - Seek to improve spatial, temporal resolution, number of simultaneous tracers
 - Utilize prior research in optical particle tracking

- Recent advances at Univ. Birmingham using clustering methods¹
 - Demonstrated reconstruction of 128 tracers in simulation



Cluster midpoints between lines to determine particle distribution


1. Nicusan and Windows-Yule, 2020, *Rev. Sci. Instr.*, **91**, 013329.

PEPT Future: Technology

- PEPT advancement requires 2 major technology advancements:
 - Tracers
 - Smaller size, Higher activity
 - Mechanical toughness
 - Detectors
 - Modularity
 - Higher resolution (finer crystals, improved electronics, time of flight, etc.)

Isotope	$T_{1/2}$	E_{mean} (keV)	E_{max} (keV)	R_{mean} (mm)	R_{max} (mm)
^{18}F	109.8 min.	252	635	0.66	2.6
^{11}C	20.3 min.	390	970	1.1	4.5
^{22}Na	2.6 yr.	220	674	0.53	2.3
^{64}Cu	12.7 h.	278	653	0.56	2.9
^{68}Ga	68 min.	844	1899	3.6	10.3

May require moving away from F-18



Typical line of response array in cylindrical PET scanner¹


1. Moses, W.W., 2011, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A*, **648**, S236

PEPT Future: Deployment



- PEPT is useful for flow measurements in opaque, complex geometries
 - Applications in science, engineering, medicine, etc.
- Requirements to establish PEPT facility:

PET Scanner / Detectors

Radioisotopes / Tracers



Available at many medical centers and research institutions




PEPT at VCU

- PEPT facilities being established at Virginia Commonwealth University under Dr. Lane Carasik and the FAST Research Group
- <https://fastresearchgroup.weebly.com/>



VCU

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY



Department of
Mechanical and
Nuclear
Engineering



VCU Center for
Molecular
Imaging

PEPT at VCU

- Utilize Mediso LFER housed at VCU Center for Molecular Imaging



www.medisousa.com/multiscan/lfer

- LFER offers pivoting detector bore
- Enables measurements in new geometries




PEPT at VCU

- First experiments are planned to study flow in a vertical packed bed
 - Applicable to pebble bed reactor design
 - Plan to begin operation in early to mid 2021
- Continue experiments to support thermal design aspects of advanced reactors




Use existing PET infrastructure to support PEPT experiments



- Build off prior packed bed PEPT work
- Study porous media flow at higher-Re

Summary

- The utility of PEPT has been demonstrated for measuring flows in opaque systems
 - Enables novel measurements for science and engineering
- Multiple-particle reconstruction methods developed at UTK allow new experiments, improved statistics
- PEPT at VCU to continue methods research and perform measurements for advanced reactor systems




THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

QUESTIONS?

Special thanks to:

Kate Jones (UTK Phys), Art Ruggles and the Thermal Hydraulics Research Group (UTK NE)

Lane Carasik and the FAST Research Group (VCU MNE)



This material is based upon work supported under an IUP Graduate Fellowship. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE Office of Nuclear Energy.



Upcoming Webinars

- | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 28 January 2021 | MOX Fuel for Advanced Reactors | Dr. Nathalie Chauvin, CEA, France |
| 25 February 2021 | Overview of Waste Treatment Plant, Hanford Site | Dr. David Peeler, PNNL, USA |
| 25 March 2021 | Introducing new Plant Systems Design (PSD) Code | Dr. Nawal Prinja, Jacobs, UK |